## CERCLA Site Access Control Checklist for Construction/Maintenance/Demolition **Activities at NASA MSFC** Site / Activity Information CERCLA Site Number: Project Name or Tracking Number: Requestor: Phone Number: Office Symbol: Bldg. Number or Area: Phone Number: Point of Contact (Name of contact for additional information): A Project Work Plan is required to be submitted through EEOH for an environmental evaluation. Additional submittals may be required before the review is initiated. **Reviewer Certification / Recommendation** 1. Based on my review of the data provided about the nature of the work to be performed this activity is: Disapproved Approved, Project is not on an identified CERCLA site. Approved, contingent on the controls noted being implemented. is not recommended by 2. Regulatory oversight concurrence is / - ADEM, - EPA. Primary Reviewer Signature [Environmental Engineering and Occupational Health Office (EEOH)]: Date: Secondary Reviewer Signature [Environmental Engineering and Occupational Health Office (EEOH)]: Date: **Regulatory Agency Review ADEM EPA** Conditional Concurrence (See Below) Conditional Concurrence (See Below) Concur Concur Do Not Concur Do Not Concur ADEM Signature: Date: EPA Signature: Date: EPA Additional Control Requirements: ADEM Additional Control Requirements: Special Instructions / Restrictions / Notes

1. Worker Exposure Evaluation			
Is there a potential for CERCLA site contaminants in, or adjacent to the construction area? Yes No			
If yes, what controls are recommended / required?			
Concern	Recommended / Required Controls		
a. Surface Soil Contaminants / Risk:	Recommended / Required Controls		
Yes, controls required	Dust Control Methods		
No, NA / no specific controls required	Personal Protective Equipment (consistent with job / task		
No, NA / 110 specific controls required	hazard analysis)		
	Ind. Safety Department approved Site Specific Safety & Health Plan (SSHP)		
b. Subsurface Soil Contaminants:	Tian (ootii )		
	No executation below denth		
Yes, controls required	No excavation below depth		
No, NA / no specific controls required	Control water entry into all excavations		
	Other, specify:		
c. Groundwater contaminants:			
Yes, controls required	No excavation which exposes groundwater		
No, NA / no specific controls required	No, no specific controls required		
_	Other, specify:		
d. Other Media, specify (sediment, SW, etc.)			
Yes, controls required	Exposure control for following media:		
No, NA / no specific controls required			
Notes:			
Notes.			

2.	2. CERCLA Contaminant Migration / Transport Evaluation		
		or nature of CERCLA contaminant migration or will the work potentially es  No	
If s	so, then what controls are required / recommended to pre	event this migration / transport?	
	Concern	Recommended / Required Controls	
a.	Increased surface contaminant migration/transport:		
	Yes, controls required	Runoff control techniques	
	No, NA / no specific controls required	Drainage control techniques	
		Other, specify:	
b.	Increased subsurface contaminant migration /		
	transport:	No subsurface excavation	
	Yes, controls required	No disturbance of surface cover which would increase	
	No, NA / no specific controls required	subsurface water infiltration / permeation, i.e. grade to drain	
		Other, specify:	
c.	Increased migration / transport of other media contaminants - specify:	No changes in site drainage characteristics that would increase groundwater, sediment or other media contaminant migration	
	Yes, controls required	transportation	
	No, NA / no specific controls required	No	
		Other, specify:	
No	otes:		

3.	3. CERCLA Investigation / Removal / Remediation Evaluation			
Does the activity involve work that might impact the ability to conduct further site investigation, removal actions, remedial efforts? Yes No				
If so, then what controls or actions are required to control these hazards?				
	Concern	Recommended / Required Controls		
a.	Impaired ability to perform future investigative work (well placement, specimen collection, etc.):  Yes, controls required  No, NA / no specific controls required	Relocation of proposed structures to maintain access or proposed future sampling locations  Other, specify:		
b.	Potential for activity to result in additional contaminant releases which might complicate interpretation / future removal or remedial action:  Yes, controls required	<ul> <li>No future activity involving the following chemicals</li> <li>■ No buildings or utilities over areas identified on attached map</li> </ul>		
	No, NA / no specific controls required	Other, specify:		
C.	Impaired ability to conduct TCRA or NTCRA activities:  Yes, controls required	No building over areas identified on attached map  Adjust project schedule to allow completion of TCRA / NTCRA		
	No, NA / no specific controls required	completion Other, specify:		
d.	Impaired ability to conduct Remedial Actions:  Yes, controls required  No, NA / no specific controls required	No building over areas identified on attached map  No changes in site drainage characteristics  Other, specify:		
No	otes:			

4. Activity Debris / Waste Evaluation			
Does the activity involve work that might generate solid waste that might be hazardous waste due to the material being contaminated by CERCLA release related contaminants?  Yes No			
If so, then what controls are required / recommended to characterize the debris or waste to insure proper disposal?			
	Concern	Recommended / Required Controls	
a.	Building demolition / debris might contain CERCLA contaminants that could be transported off-site by the planned work:  Yes, controls required	Do not remove specific types of debris noted below:  Collect specific media samples for analysis for the following contaminants:	
	No, NA / no specific controls required	Other, specify:	
b.	CERCLA contaminants might result in building demolition debris being classified as a RCRA waste or might trip other special disposal requirements:  Yes, controls required  No, NA / no specific controls required	Obtain CERCLA off-site disposal approval:  Disposal of the following debris in a RCRA permitted hazardous waste landfill:  Collect specific media samples for analysis for the following contaminants:	
		Other, specify:	
C.	Planned work might directly transport contaminated site media to other locations:  Yes, controls required  No, NA / no specific controls required	No removal of the following media:  Decontamination of all tools, equipment, vehicles prior to leaving the site.  Other appoint:	
		Other, specify:	
d.	Planned work might generate waste media that might result in the media being classified as a RCRA waste or might trip other special disposal requirements:  Yes, controls required	Obtain CERCLA off-site disposal approval.  Analyze transported site media for the following contaminants:  Dispose of the following media as indicated:	
	No, NA / no specific controls required	Other, specify:	
No	vites:		

5. Special Hazards Evaluation What is the Munitions and Explosives of Concern (MEC) Probability Ranking of the work area?	
Is this a Facilities Department work request for an area	located south of Fowler Road? Yes No
All Facilities Department work request should follow the	more conservative guidelines required on the Dig Permit.
Concern	Recommended / Required Controls
<ul><li>a. Probability Rankings:</li><li>1. Frequent</li></ul>	UXO construction support required
2. Likely / Probable	UXO removal / clearance required prior to any work
3. Occasional	CWM / CWA removal / clearance required prior to any work
4. Seldom / Remote	CWM / CWA real time monitoring required for all site activities
5. Unlikely / Improbable	Other, specify:
b. Other special hazards, specify:	
Very control on the last	
Yes, controls required	
No, NA / no specific controls required	
Note: Regardless of the probability, the work plan must inc Redstone Arsenal UXO / CWM response plan by cal	clude provisions to stop work, secure the perimeter, and activate the II 911 if any potential UXO or CWM item is discovered.
Notes:	